

Phone: (803) 737-0800 Fax: (803) 737-0801

May 11, 2005

Mr. Charles L.A. Terreni Chief Clerk/Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission 101 Executive Center Dr., Suite 100 Columbia, SC 29210

Re: Carolina Power & Light Company dba Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. -

Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs.

Docket No. 2005-1-E

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and twenty-six (26) copies of the Direct Testimony of A.R. Watts and Jacqueline R. Cherry in the above referenced matter. Please date stamp the extra copy enclosed and return it to me via our courier.

Also, we have served same on all parties of record and enclose a Certificate of Service to that effect.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Hundy B. Cartledge

Wendy B. Cartledge

WBC/rng

cc: Len S. Anthony, Esquire

Scott Elliott, Esquire Thomas S. Mullikin, Esquire Garrett A. Stone, Esquire

#### BEFORE

#### THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### **OF SOUTH CAROLINA**

**DOCKET NO. 2005-1-E** 

IN RE: Carolina Power & Light Company ) d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.) Annual Review of Base Rates for ) Fuel )	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I, Rena Grant, an employee with the Office of Regulatory Staff, have this date served one (1) copy of the **Direct Testimony of A.R. Watts and Jacqueline R.**Cherry in the above-referenced matter to the person(s) named below via electronic mail and by causing said copy to be deposited in the United States Postal Service, first class postage prepaid and affixed thereto, and addressed as shown below:

Len S. Anthony, Esquire
Progress Energy Services Company
PO Box 1551/PEB 17A4
Raleigh, NC 27602
len.s.anthony@pgnmail.com

Scott Elliott, Esquire
Elliott & Elliott, P.A.
721 Olive Street
Columbia, SC 29205
selliott@elliottlaw.us

Thomas S. Mullikin, Esquire

Moore & Van Allen, PLLC

100 North Tryon Street, Ste. 4700

Charlotte, NC, 28202

tommullikin@mvalaw.com

### Garrett A. Stone, Esquire Brickfield, Burchette, Ritts & Stone, P.C.

1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, N.W. 8th Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 gstone@bbrslaw.com

Rena Grant

May 11, 2005 Columbia, South Carolina

# THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

**OF** 

Jacqueline R. Cherry



DOCKET NO. 2005-1-E
Carolina Power & Light Company dba Progress
Energy Carolinas, Inc. - Annual Review of Base
Rates for Fuel Costs Annual Review

1		
2		TESTIMONY OF JACQUELINE R. CHERRY
3		FOR
4		THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF
5		DOCKET NO. 2005-1-E
6 7 8		IN RE: CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINA'S INC.
9		
10	Q.	PLEASE STATE FOR THE RECORD YOUR NAME, BUSINESS
11		ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.
12	A.	My name is Jacqueline R. Cherry. My business address is 1441 Main
13		Street, Suite 300, Columbia, South Carolina, 29201. I am employed by
14		the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") in the Audit Department, as an
15		Audit Manager.
16	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
17		EXPERIENCE.
18	A.	I received a B.S. Degree in Business Administration, with a major in
19		Accounting from Johnson C. Smith University in 1976. I was employed
20		by the Office of Regulatory Staff in October 2004. I have over 25 years
21		of experience auditing utility companies, previously, for the Public
22		Service Commission of South Carolina. I have participated in cases
23		involving gas, electric, telephone, water and wastewater utilities.

22

Testi	mony of Jacqueline R. Cherry	DOCKCI 110. 2003 1 D
1 CSU	Mony or susquestion	TOTAL TELEPORT
$\sim$	WHAT IS THE DURDOSE OF	VOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
2		PROCEEDING?
3	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the results of ORS Audit
4		Staff's examination of Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress
5		Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s ("the Company") Fuel Adjustment Clause
6		operation for the period January 2004 through June 2005. The findings
7		of the examination are set forth below and in the exhibits attached to
8		this testimony.
9	Q.	PLEASE IDENTIFY THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO YOUR PREFILED
10		TESTIMONY.
11	Α.	I have attached the Audit Report of the Office of Regulatory Staff for
12		Docket No. 2005-1-E, Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress
13		Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs,
14		with Audit Exhibits JRC-1 through JRC-9. The contents of the Audit
15		Report were either prepared by me or were prepared under my
16		direction and supervision.
17	Q.	WHAT WAS THE SCOPE OF YOUR AUDIT?
18	A.	ORS Audit Staff traced the fuel information as filed in the Company's
19		required monthly reports to the Company's books and records. The
20		current fuel review period covered the period January 2004 through June
21		2005. However, the ORS Audit Staff did not examine the months of

April, May and June 2005 because the per book figures were not

		d/0/a Flogless Energy Carlonias, inc.
	<u>Test</u>	imony of Jacqueline R. Cherry Docket No. 2005-1-E Page 3 available. Estimated figures were used for those months. The purpose
1		
2		of the audit was to determine if Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a
3		Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. had computed and applied the monthly
4		Fuel Adjustment Clause in accordance with the approved clause and
5		S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-865(A). To accomplish this task, ORS examined
6		the components surrounding the operation of the clause.
7	Q.	WHAT WERE THE STEPS THAT THE ORS EMPLOYED WITHIN THE
8		SCOPE OF THE AUDIT?
9	A.	The examination consisted of:
10		1. Analyzing the Fuel Stock Account - Account # 151
		2. Sampling Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account – Account # 151
11		3. Verifying Charges to Nuclear Fuel Expense - Account # 518
12		- La Latarahanga Power Fuel Costs
13		
14		10 at Oasto
15		Total Coate Adjustment Factors and Verifying
16		
17		the Unbilled Revenue
18		8. Recalculating the True-up for the Over (Under)-Recovered
19		Fuel Costs
20		9. Analyzing Spot Coal Purchasing Procedures
21	Q	. WITH REGARD TO THE TRUE-UP OF OVER/ (UNDER)-
22		RECOVERED FUEL COSTS, WOULD YOU PLEASE ELABORATE
23		ON ORS AUDIT STAFF'S COMPUTATION?
24	A	. ORS analyzed the cumulative over/ (under)-recovery of fuel costs that
25		the Company incurred for the period January 2004 through March 2005.

1	The cumulative (under)-recovery amount totaled (\$27,998,971). ORS
2	then added the projected (under)-recovery of (\$2,333,564) for the
3	month of April 2005, the projected (under)-recovery of (\$3,394,987) for
4	the month of May 2005 and the projected (under)-recovery of
5	(\$5,713,831) for June 2005 to arrive at a cumulative (under)-recovery of
6	(\$39,441,353). The Company's cumulative (under)-recovery as of June
7	2005, per its testimony in Docket No. 2005-1-E {Barkley Exhibit No. 4},
8	totals (\$41,483,716). The difference between the Company's and
9	ORS's cumulative (under)-recovery totals \$2,042,363, which is based
10	on several adjustments, which will be discussed later in my testimony.
11	It should be noted that ORS's cumulative (under)-recovery of fuel
12	costs as of actual March 2005 totaled (\$27,998,971). The Company's
13	cumulative (under)-recovery total as of actual March 2005 totaled
14	(\$30,041,333), per its testimony in Docket No. 2005-1-E {Barkley
15	Exhibit No. 4}. The difference between the Company's and the ORS's
16	cumulative (under)-recovery as of actual March 2005 totals \$2,042,362
17	(\$1 rounding differences are noted between the cumulative (under)-
18	recovery differences for both actual March 2005 and estimated June
19	2005). ORS Audit Exhibit JRC-7, South Carolina Fuel Cost
20	Computation, consisting of 4 pages, provides the explanation for this
21	cumulative (under)-recovery difference as of March 2005.

the Company's beginning cumulative (under)-recovery balance from

December 2003 by \$948,476. This beginning cumulative difference is

21

22

Page 6 Docket No. 2005-1-E Testimony of Jacqueline R. Cherry based on the PSC Staff's corrections from the last fuel review period. It 1 should be noted that PEC, in its testimony, includes an applicable 2 adjustment of \$948,472 (with a \$4 rounding difference) to March 2004's 3 monthly deferred entry. 4 MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR SECOND 5 Q. **FOOTNOTE ON ORS AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-7?** 6 Yes, The second footnote addresses ORS Audit Staff's adjustments to 7 Α. the Company's Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis. 8 ORS's Purchased Power figures for January 2004 through March 2005 9 and the resultant over/(under)-recovery monthly deferred fuel amounts 10 for January 2004 through March 2005 reflects ORS's compliance with 11 the revised section of the S.C. Fuel Statute (updated as of February 12 2004), S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-865(A). This Statute addresses "fuel 13 costs related to purchased power." Sub-section (A)(2)(b) of the revised 14 Statute stated that the delivered cost of economy purchases, including 15 transmission charges, could be included in Purchased Power Costs if 16 those types of purchases were proven to be "less than the purchasing 17 utility's avoided variable costs for the generation of an equivalent 18 quantity of electric power." After ORS applied this revised Statute to the 19 examined economic purchases along with the applicable avoided cost, 20 ORS's avoided cost adjustment to Purchased Power Costs, on a total 21 system basis, totaled (\$171,610). This figure reflects the usage of an 22

	Testi	imony of Jacqueline R. Cherry Docket No. 2005-1-E Page 7
1		avoided cost as a lesser price, at that point in time, over a purchase
2		price.
3	Ası	mentioned previously, according to the new Statute section, transmission
4	cha	rges could be included in the delivered cost of economy purchases.
5	Hov	vever, ORS made an adjustment in March 2004 to Purchased Power
6	Cos	sts to exclude a transmission charge totaling \$587,500 because the
7	trar	nsmission charge was reflected with no corresponding purchase for that
8	mo	nth. Since the purchase transaction was \$-0-, ORS excluded the fixed
9	trar	nsmission charge – <b>(\$587,500)</b> .
10		ORS reduced Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis, for a
11	tota	al of (\$759,110). See Audit Exhibit JRC-8 for details.
12	Q.	DID THE COMPANY MAKE ANY ADJUSTMENTS OR TRUE-UPS
13		DURING THE ACTUAL REVIEW PERIOD?
14	A.	Yes. My third footnote in Audit Exhibit JRC-7 explains that in December
15		2004, the Company made an adjustment to reflect a correction to its
16		S.C. KWH Sales from November 2004. The sales had been understated
17		for that month. This true-up adjustment was reflected as an additional
18		monthly entry to the Deferred Fuel Account as an (under)-recovery entry
19		of (\$18,500). ORS agreed with this adjustment.
20	Q.	MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR FINAL
21		FOOTNOTE ON ORS AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-7?

A. My fourth and final footnote in Audit Exhibit JRC-7, explains an 1 adjustment the ORS made for an outstanding PSC Fuel Docket of PEC. 2 (Docket No.2003-1-E) In January 2004, the Richland County Circuit 3 Court issued an order addressing appeals of fuel cases of Duke and 4 SCE&G. The Circuit Court held that the avoided cost methodology 5 approved by the PSC to handle non-identifiable fuel costs on purchased 6 power transactions was not allowed under the fuel statute. Therefore, to 7 resolve the outstanding CP&L (PEC) docket, which dealt with this issue, 8 ORS proposes the use of the N.C. Public Staff's Fuel Cost Proxy 9 Percentages for that review year of 2002. The adjustment is reflected in 10 the S.C. Jurisdictional cumulative balance of the Deferred Account as an 11 over-recovery amount of \$1,906,438. See Audit Exhibit JRC-9 for 12 details. 13 Q. HOW DID THIS IMPACT THE (UNDER)-RECOVERY OF FUEL COSTS? 14 A. As mentioned previously, the ORS cumulative (under)-recovery of fuel 15 costs as of actual March 2005 totaled (\$27,998,971). The Company's 16 cumulative (under)-recovery total as of actual March 2005 totaled 17 (\$30,041,333). The difference between the Company's and the ORS's 18 cumulative (under)-recovery as of actual March 2005 totaled \$2,042,362. 19 The cumulative (under)-recovery balance as of estimated June 2005 of 20 the Company totaled (\$41,483,716) and the ORS totaled (\$39,441,353). 21

#### Docket No. 2005-1-E

### 1 Q. MRS. CHERRY, WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORS AUDIT

2	STAFF EXHIBITS?	

- 3 A. ORS prepared exhibits from Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a
- 4 Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s books and records reflecting fuel costs
- 5 during the review period.
- 6 Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:
- 7 Exhibit JRC-1: Coal Cost Statistics
- 8 Exhibit JRC-2: Received Coal-Cost Per Ton (Per Plant)
- 9 Exhibit JRC-3: Received Coal-Cost Per Ton Comparison
- 10 Exhibit JRC-4: Coal Fuel Stocks
- 11 Exhibit JRC-5: Total Burned Cost (Fossil and Nuclear)
- 12 Exhibit JRC-6: Cost of Fuel
- 13 Exhibit JRC-7: South Carolina Fuel Cost Computation
- 14 Exhibit JRC-8: Avoided Cost and Other Purchase Power Adjustments
- Exhibit JRC-9: Adjustment for the Previous CP&L Docket No. 2003-1-E
- $16\,\,$  Q. MRS. CHERRY, WHAT WERE THE RESULTS OF THE ORS AUDIT
- 17 **DEPARTMENT'S REVIEW?**
- 18 A. Based on the ORS Audit Staff's examination of Carolina Power & Light
- Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s books and records,
- and the utilization of the fuel cost recovery mechanism as directed by the
- Commission, the ORS Audit Department is of the opinion that the

Testimony of Jacqueline R. Cherry

Docket No. 2005-1-E

Page 10

- Company has complied with the directives (per the Fuel Adjustment
- 2 Clause) of the Commission.
- 3 Q. MRS. CHERRY, DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

5

### **DIRECT EXHIBITS**

### **OF**

### JACQUELINE R. CHERRY

Carolina Power & Light Company dba Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. - Annual Review of Base Rates for Fuel Costs Annual Review

# REPORT OF THE AUDIT DEPARTMENT THE OFFICE OF REGULATORY STAFF

### **DOCKET NO. 2005-1-E**

### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY D/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.

### ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS

REVIEW PERIOD: JANUARY 1, 2004 - MARCH 31, 2005 (ACTUAL)

#### INDEX

PAGE NUMBER
1 - 12
13-14
15
16-17 18
19
20
21 -24
25-26
27

Note: All of the ORS Audit Exhibits were prepared by the ORS Audit Staff.

#### REPORT OF THE AUDIT DEPARTMENT

#### **DOCKET NO. 2005-1-E**

#### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

#### d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.

#### ANNUAL REVIEW OF BASE RATES FOR FUEL COSTS

#### **ANALYSIS**

The Office of Regulatory Staff's (ORS) Audit Department has made an examination of the books and records of Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "the Company" or "PEC") relative to the requirement under Docket No. 2005-1-E and S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-865(A), that periodic hearings be conducted before the Commission concerning the Adjustment of Base Rates for Fuel Costs.

The current examination of Carolina Power & Light Company's d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s Retail Fuel Adjustment Clause covered the period of January 2004 through June 2005. However, the ORS Audit ORS did not examine the months of April, May, and June 2005 because the per book figures were not available during ORS's audit. The amounts of over/(under)-recovery for April 2005, May 2005 and June 2005 were estimated for the purpose of adjusting base rates effective July 1, 2005. The estimates for these three months will be subject to true-up at Carolina Power & Light Company's next hearing.

The ORS Audit Department's examination consisted of the following:

- 1. Analyzing the Fuel Stock Account Account # 151
- 2. Sampling Receipts to the Fuel Stock Account -- Account #151

- 3. Verifying Charges to Nuclear Fuel Expense --- Account # 518
- 4. Verifying Purchased and Interchange Power Fuel Costs
- 5. Verifying KWH Sales
- 6. Comparison of Coal Costs
- 7. Recalculating the Fuel Costs Adjustment Factors and Verifying Unbilled Revenue
- 8. Recalculating the True-up for the Over (Under)-Recovered Fuel Costs
- 9. Analysis of Spot Coal Purchasing Procedures

### 1. ANALYZING THE FUEL STOCK ACCOUNT - ACCOUNT # 151

ORS's analysis of the Fuel Stock Account consisted of tracing receipts to and issues from the fuel management system to the General Ledger, reviewing monthly journal entries originating in fuel accounting, and ensuring that only proper charges are entered in the Company's computation of fuel costs for purposes of adjusting base rates for fuel costs.

### 2. SAMPLING RECEIPTS TO THE FUEL STOCK ACCOUNT-ACCOUNT #151

ORS's sample of coal receipts to the Fuel Stock Account consisted of randomly selecting transactions and tracing each of these randomly selected transactions to a waybill, supplier voucher, and a freight voucher for documentation purposes. It also consisted of recalculating the transactions to insure mathematical accuracy.

### 3. VERIFYING CHARGES TO NUCLEAR FUEL EXPENSE - ACCOUNT # 518

ORS traced the expense amounts for nuclear fuel to the books and records for the period January 2004 through March 2005 to verify the accuracy of the expenses to fuel amortization schedules.

### 4. VERIFYING PURCHASED AND INTERCHANGE POWER FUEL COSTS

ORS performed an examination of the Company's purchased and interchange power amounts used in the Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) for the period January 2004 through March 2005.

ORS obtained the detail of the purchases and sales made by PEC to and from other electric utilities. ORS verified the amounts that are being used in computing total fuel costs for each month. These details allowed the ORS to identify fuel costs that are being passed through the clause in computing the factor above or below the base for each period. See ORS's Exhibit JRC-6 for details.

ORS's Purchased Power figures for January 2004 through March 2005 and the resultant over (under)-recovery monthly deferred fuel amounts for January 2004 through March 2005 reflect the ORS's compliance with the revised section of the S.C. Fuel Statute (updated as of February 2004), S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-865(A). This Statute addresses "fuel costs related to purchased power." Sub section (A)(2)(b) of the revised Statute stated that the delivered cost of economy purchases, including transmission charges, could be included in Purchased Power Costs if those types of purchases were proven to be "less than the purchasing utility's avoided variable costs for the generation of an equivalent quantity of electric power." After ORS applied this revised Statute to the examined economic purchases along with the applicable avoided costs, ORS's adjustment to Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis, totaled (\$171,610). This figure reflects the usage of an avoided cost as a lesser price, at that point in time, over a purchase price.

As mentioned previously, according to the new Statute section, transmission charges associated with economy purchases of electric power could be included in the delivered cost of purchases. However, ORS made an adjustment in March 2004 to Purchased Power Costs to exclude a transmission charge totaling \$587,500 because the transmission charge was reflected with

no corresponding purchase for that month. Since the purchase transaction was \$-0-, ORS excluded the fixed transmission charge of \$587,500. ORS trace the sales and purchases transactions for January 2004 through March 2005 to the Company's sales and purchases monthly reports and on a sample basis, traced to monthly invoices. ORS recomputed the sales and purchases.

In accordance with Public Service Commission Order No. 90-961, Docket No. 90-004-E, dated October 18, 1990, ORS reviewed the Company's non-firm, off-system transactions for the review period.

During this review period, ORS also made an adjustment for an outstanding PEC (CP&L) Public Service Commission Fuel Adjustment Clause Docket No. 2003-1-E. This docket dealt with the issue of non-identifiable fuel costs in purchase transactions (Purchased Power Costs). In January 2004, the Richland County Circuit Court, in appeals concerning the fuel cases of Duke Power Company and SCE&G interpreted the S.C. Fuel Statute's then current definition section that dealt with fuel costs in purchase power transactions. The Court ruled that the avoided cost proxy that was used in S.C. to handle non-identifiable fuel costs in purchase transactions was not clearly defined to be used in the S.C. Fuel Statute. Therefore, to resolve the outstanding CP&L (PEC) docket, ORS proposes the use of the N.C. Public Staff's Fuel Cost Proxy Percentages for the review year of 2002 (Docket No. 2003-1-E). The adjustment is reflected in the S.C. Jurisdictional cumulative balance of the Deferred Account as an over-recovery amount of \$1,906,438.

#### 5. VERIFYING KWH SALES

ORS verified total system sales, as filed in the monthly fuel factor computation, for the months of January 2004 through March 2005. This monthly figure was then used to determine the fuel cost per KWH sold.

#### 6. COMPARISON OF COAL COSTS

ORS prepared exhibits from PEC's books and records reflecting coal costs during the review period. Specifically, these exhibits are as follows:

**Audit Exhibit JRC-1: COAL COST STATISTICS** 

Audit Exhibit JRC-2: RECEIVED COAL-COST PER TON (PER PLANT)

Audit Exhibit JRC-3: RECEIVED COAL-COST PER TON COMPARISON

With reference to Audit Exhibit JRC-1, Coal Cost Statistics, ORS has shown a detailed analysis of spot and contract coal for the fifteen (15) months ended March 2005. Also, in Audit Exhibit JRC-1, the Weighted Average of Coal Received is reflected for the fifteen-month period. Total costs for the fifteen-month period were divided by the total tons for the fifteen-month period in arriving at the average costs per ton received of \$64.00.

In Audit Exhibit JRC-2, Received Coal-Cost Per Ton (Per Plant), ORS reflects the overall cost per ton of coal by month per plant.

In Audit Exhibit JRC-3, Received Coal-Cost Per Ton Comparison, ORS reflects the overall cost per ton of coal by month for the three major electric utilities regulated by this Commission.

# 7. RECALCULATING THE FUEL COSTS ADJUSTMENT FACTORS AND VERIFYING UNBILLED REVENUES

ORS recalculated the Fuel Costs Adjustment Factors for the months of January 2004 through March 2005 utilizing information obtained from the Company's records.

With reference to fuel cost, ORS verified the Total Fuel Costs for the months of January 2004 through March 2005 to the Company's books and records.

In recalculating the monthly factors, ORS divided the Total Cost of Fuel Burned by Total System Sales to arrive at fuel costs per KWH sales. The base fuel cost per KWH, included in

the base rates, is then subtracted from the fuel cost per KWH sales and the resulting figure represents the fuel cost adjustment above or below base per KWH sales. The South Carolina Retail Jurisdictional KWH deferrals were checked to the Company's records. The actual Unbilled Revenue for each month was verified to the Company's books and records.

# 8. RECALCULATING THE TRUE-UP FOR THE OVER (UNDER)-RECOVERED FUEL COSTS

ORS analyzed the cumulative (under)-recovery of fuel costs that the Company had incurred for the period January 2004 through March 2005 totaling (\$27,998,971). ORS added the projected (under)-recovery of (\$2,333,564) for the month of April 2005, the projected (under)-recovery of (\$3,394,987) for the month of May 2005, and the projected (under)-recovery of (\$5,713,831) for the month of June 2005 to arrive at a cumulative (under)-recovery of (\$39,441,353) as of June 2005. The Company's cumulative (under)-recovery, per its testimony in Docket No. 2005-1-E, as of March 2005 totals (\$30,041,333) and as of June 2005, the cumulative (under)-recovery totals (\$41,483,716). The difference between the Company's and the ORS's cumulative (under)-recovery as of actual March 2005 totals \$2,042,362. The difference between the Company's and ORS's cumulative (under)-recovery, as of June 2005, totals \$2,042,363 (\$1 rounding difference noted between the cumulative (under)-recovery differences for actual March 2005 and estimated June 2005). ORS Audit Exhibit JRC-7, South Carolina Fuel Cost Computation, consisting of 4 pages, provides the explanation for this cumulative (under)-recovery difference as of March 2005.

As stated in Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s S.C. Retail Adjustment for Fuel Costs Rider, fuel costs will be included in base rates to the extent determined reasonable and proper by the Commission.

Accordingly, the Commission should consider the (under)-recovery of (\$39,441,353) along with the anticipated fuel costs for the period July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006, for the purpose of determining the base cost of fuel in base rates effective July 1, 2005. This (\$39,441,353) (under)-recovery figure was provided to ORS's Electric and Gas Regulation Department.

#### 9. ANALYSIS OF SPOT COAL PURCHASING PROCEDURES

ORS examined the procedure followed by the Company's fossil fuel area, the Regulated Fuels Department, for obtaining and accepting bids on spot coal. The Regulated Fuels Department maintains a list of coal vendors (suppliers) from whom bids are solicited as needed. When bids are requested, the Regulated Fuels Department's procurement section electronically mails each of these coal vendors a Coal Quotation Form and letter requesting bids. In order for a coal vendor's name to be on this mailing list, the coal vendor must possess the necessary financial, technical, and business resources to suppy coal consistent with the Company's requirements.

The Coal Quotation Forms require information such as the name of the coal company (the supplier), the name of the producer, the name of the mine, the number of tons offered, coal specifications, price per ton, the month(s) the shipment will be made, mining methods of the producer, and shipping transportation data. It should be noted that these solicitation letters and Coal Quotation Forms, based on whether a coal vendor has any coal to sell, are sent to the suppliers when there are near-term needs (one to fifteen months) for coal.

If the Company decides to purchase spot coal in a given month, after reviewing their spot coal requirements, then all the bids received are evaluated. The Company normally requires all bids to be made on Coal Quotation Forms or are OTC bids. For evaluation purposes, an economic analysis is performed, and then an optimization model is run. This is in addition to recommending the

distribution of the coal to the plants to ensure compliance with sulfur limitations imposed by State and Federal regulations, as well as to exclude any coal that may exceed other environmental and generating unit constraints.

The Company's coal procurement personnel consider at least three factors when they evaluate the coal bids: (a) cost of the delivered coal on a cents/mmbtu basis (including freight), (b) the BTU, ash, moisture, volatiles, grindability, ash softening temperature, and sulfur content of the coal offered (for operational and environmental purposes), and (c) the past performance of the supplier and the coal obtained from the producer. The Company's coal procurement personnel determine the current market price for coal prior to negotiating with the coal vendors over their bids. In this way, the coal procurement personnel determine the limits they should stay within when bargaining for coal. The coal procurement personnel bargain over the price of the coal as well as other possible terms and conditions of a prospective purchase. Coal procurement personnel will either accept or reject the coal vendor's offer or make a counter-offer to the vendor's offer.

Upon agreement on a coal purchase, the Regulated Fuels Department executes a contract. Both parties sign the contract. The coal vendor takes samples of coal according to ASTM Standards. The samples are sent to an independent fuel laboratory which analyzes each spot coal shipment for BTU, ash, moisture and sulfur content, and periodically analyzes coal for volatiles, grindability, and ash softening temperature. The coal analysis results are entered into the computerized Fuel Management System, which is used by the Regulated Fuels Department to monitor coal receipts and to process coal payments. The appropriate premium or penalty on the coal purchased is determined by the Regulated Fuels Department through the Fuel Management System which adds a premium or assesses a penalty to the total amount due to the coal vendor, and the results are forwarded to the Company's Accounting Department. The Regulated Fuels

Department closely monitors the quality and reliability of coal shipped by various producers. If a certain producer renders poor performance, the coal procurement personnel consider this past performance when analyzing any future offers received from the supplier.

Occasionally, the Regulated Fuels Department receives unsolicited bids for the purchase of coal. The same procedure used for evaluating solicited bids is used when evaluating the offer: determining the need for spot coal, cost, purchasing, sampling, and assessing penalties or premiums.

The Company's spot coal requirements are obtained through short-term commitments with terms that may range from one month to fifteen months duration.

During the review period of January 2004 through March 2005, the Company accepted 53 of 73 total spot coal bid requests, with total accepted spot coal tons of 2,619,000. The actual amount of spot coal received for this period is reflected in ORS's Audit Exhibit JRC-1.

#### **EXHIBITS**

Exhibits relative to this proceeding are identified as follows:

# AUDIT EXHIBITJRC-1: COAL COST STATISTICS (AND WEIGHTED AVERAGE OF COAL RECEIVED)

In Audit Exhibit JRC-1, Coal Cost Statistics, ORS compares spot and contract coal received for the period January 2004 through March 2005. The comparison is made in the following five (5) areas:

- (1) Tons Received
- (2) Percentage of Total Tons Received
- (3) Received Cost Per Ton
- (4) Total Received Cost

#### (5) Cost Per MBTU

This exhibit also reflects the total spot and contract tons received during the period January 2004 through March 2005. ORS has taken the total received cost for the fifteen (15) months and divided this by the total tons for the fifteen (15) months in arriving at a Weighted Average Cost per ton for the fifteen (15)-month period.

#### AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-2: RECEIVED COAL-COST PER TON (PER PLANT)

This exhibit shows the received cost per ton for coal at each of PEC's plants for the period from January 2204 through March 2005, in dollars per ton including freight costs.

#### AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-3: RECEIVED COAL-COST PER TON COMPARISON

This exhibit reflects the received cost per ton for coal for each month from January 2004 through March 2005 for PEC, Duke Power Company and South Carolina Electric & Gas Company.

ORS has shown, for comparison purposes, the invoice cost per ton, freight cost per ton, total cost per ton and the cost per MBTU.

# AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-4: COAL FUEL STOCKS—NUMBER OF DAYS OF SUPPLY (ALL PLANTS)

This exhibit reflects PEC's coal inventory in terms of tons received, consumed, and on hand at the end of the month. The number of days of supply is also shown.

#### AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-5: TOTAL BURNED COST (FOSSIL AND NUCLEAR)

This exhibit reflects the per book cost of burned fuel, including emission allowance expenses, used for generation for the period January 2004 through March 2005. The burned cost of each class of fuel is shown separately.

#### **AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-6: COST OF FUEL**

In Audit Exhibit JRC-6, ORS has computed the total fuel cost applicable to the factor computation. There are three (3) components used in arriving at this cost. These components are as follows:

- (1) Cost of Fuel Burned
- (2) Purchase and Interexchange Power Cost
- (3) Authorized Adjustments

Cost of Fuel Burned—This amount is the cost of all fossil and nuclear fuel burned during the period. The costs associated with emission allowances are also reflected. A detailed breakdown of coal, oil, gas, emission allowances and nuclear fuel can be seen in Audit Exhibit JRC-5.

Purchase and Interchange Power Fuel Cost— This amount is the monthly kilowatt hours delivered to or received by one electric utility from another electric utility.

Authorized Adjustments--- These are amounts decreasing the total fuel cost as authorized by regulatory agencies.

Total fuel cost applicable to the factor is computed by adding the cost of fuel burned to purchase and interchange power and authorized adjustments.

### **AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-7: S.C. FUEL COSTS COMPUTATION**

Shown in this exhibit are the actual costs for January 2004 through March 2005 and the estimated fuel costs for April, May and June 2005.

# AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-8: AVOIDED COST AND OTHER PURCHASE POWER ADJUSTMENTS

This exhibit provides the details of ORS's calculations for the adjustments made to the Company's Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis, for the review period of January 2004

through March 2005. ORS calculated avoided cost adjustments and a transmission adjustment related to purchase power transactions.

# AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-9: ADJUSTMENT FOR THE PREVIOUS CP&L DOCKET NO. 2003-1-E

This exhibit reflects the details concerning an ORS adjustment to settle an outstanding CP&L Fuel PSC Docket -- Docket No. 2003-1-E.

# CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. COAL COST STATISTICS JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

_	_	_	_	
•	о,	_	п	

		0. 0.			
MONTH	TONS RECEIVED	PERCENTAGE	COST/TON RECEIVED	TOTAL RECEIVED  COST	\$/MBTU
<u>MONTH</u>		<u>"                                    </u>	\$		<u> </u>
	TONS		•		•
Jan-04	316,938.44	36.43%	46.43	14,715,587.54	1.8789
Feb-04	282,729.19	34.67%	50.48	14,271,674.51	2.0472
Mar-04	349,603.27	35.91%	50.40	17,621,524.77	2.0394
Apr-04	342,770.27	34.91%	52.07	17,849,732.14	2.1243
May-04	415,971.36	39.83%	51.20	21,299,166.91	2.0798
Jun-04	350,449.07	40.21%	53.84	18,866,621.62	2.2039
Jul-04	473,564.20	40.80%	55.58	26,319,591.32	2.2560
Aug-04	443,518.32	42.00%	59.81	26,525,331.50	2.4605
Sep-04	309,442.78	31.15%	57.66	17,842,658.30	2.3640
Oct-04	288,162.20	35.00%	53.55	15,430,264.00	2.1732
Nov-04	331,466.68	34.65%	61.97	20,540,228.38	2.5214
Dec-04	402,779.07	44.10%	65.14	26,237,676.39	2.6771
Jan-05	244,739.90	31.07%	70.96	17,366,088.34	2.8462
Feb-05	193,140.09	18.25%	74.58	14,404,948.07	2.9423
Mar-05	261,178.36	20.92%	79.97	20,886,154.30	3.1695
Totals (1/04 - 3/05)	5,006,453.20	=		290,177,248.09	

CONTRACT

		00///			
	TONG DECEMED	PERCENTAGE	COST/TON RECEIVED	TOTAL RECEIVED <u>COST</u>	\$/MBTU
<u>MONTH</u>	TONS RECEIVED				<u> </u>
	TONS	%	\$	\$	•
Jan-04	553,168.93	63.57%	51.54	28,510,175.79	2.0655
Feb-04	532,774.87	65.33%	47.51	25,311,019.62	1.9016
Mar-04	623,913.88	64.09%	46.18	28,810,154.90	1.8555
Apr-04	639,142.86	65.09%	50.46	32,252,576.70	2.0201
дрг-04 Мау-04	628,490.45	60.17%	50.32	31,626,987.55	2.0216
Jun-04	520,992.40	59.79%	52.56	27,382,363.69	2.1136
Jul-04	687,115.75	59.20%	59.64	40,979,989.51	2.3933
Aug-04	612,382.49	58.00%	56.09	34,348,361.03	2.2538
Sep-04	684,881.05	68.85%	53.93	36,885,802.39	2.1633
Seμ-04 Oct-04	535,184.83	65.00%	54.00	28,898,102.03	2.1691
Nov-04	625,073.55	65.35%	52.80	33,005,172.19	2.1107
Nov-04 Dec-04	510,542.43	55.90%	64.81	33,088,570.32	2.6089
	542,880.96	68.93%	59.35	32,220,611.71	2.3896
Jan-05	•	81.75%	60.09	52,000,162.73	2.4118
Feb-05	865,408.76			144,328,461.30	2.4202
Mar-05	2,395,420.12	79.08%	60.25		,
Totals (1/04 - 3/05)	10,957,373.33	=		609,648,511.46	

#### CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. **COAL COST STATISTICS JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005**

		COMBINED			
MONTH	TONS RECEIVED	PERCENTAGE	COST/TON RECEIVED	TOTAL RECEIVED  COST	\$/MBTU
<u> </u>	TONS	%	\$	\$	\$
Jan-04	870,107.37	100.00%	49.68	43,225,763.33	1.9980
Feb-04	815,504.06	100.00%	48.54	39,582,694.13	1.9516
Mar-04	973,517.15	100.00%	47.69	46,431,679.67	1.9212
Apr-04	981,913.13	100.00%	51.03	50,102,308.84	2.0560
May-04	1,044,461.81	100.00%	50.67	52,926,154.46	2.0446
Jun-04	871,441.47	100.00%	53.07	46,248,985.31	2.1495
Jul-04	1,160,679.95	100.00%	57.98	67,299,580.83	2.3376
Aug-04	1,055,900.81	100.00%	57.65	60,873,692.53	2.3394
Sep-04	994,323.83	100.00%	55.04	54,728,460.69	2.2249
Oct-04	823,347.03	100.00%	53.84	44,328,366.03	2.1706
Nov-04	956,540.23	100.00%	55.98	53,545,400.57	2.2514
Dec-04	913,321.50	100.00%	64.96	59,326,246.71	2.6387
Jan-05	787,620.86	100.00%	62.96	49,586,700.05	2.5318
Feb-05	1,058,548.85	100.00%	62.73	66,405,110.80	2.5100
Mar-05	1,248,308.78	100.00%	63.66	196,985,651.99	2.5508
Totals (1/04 - 3/05)	14,555,536.83			931,596,795.94	
•					
Total Received Cost	=	\$ 931,596,795.94	=	\$ 64.00	
Total Tons Received	_	14,555,536.83	3		

Note: Prepared by the ORS Audit Staff.

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. RECEIVED COAL-COST PER TON (PER PLANT) JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

2004

1	>0	VOALIBORE VOALINA	MADON	ADP	MAY	E N	JULY	AUGUST	SEPTEMBER	OCTOBER	NOVEMBER	DECEMBER
PLANI	JANDAKI	FEBRUARI						·	J			
	<b>U</b>	va	A	A	A	9	•	•	•	•	•	
	,		76.44	40.70	F2 04	51 48	63 37	53.15	82.55	60.57	53.24	53.95
CAPE FEAR	54.56	27.76	- 04	12.13	0.40	-						17 01
		27.24	43.12	51.50	76.39	58.16	54.44	56.18	47.95	63.75	28.65	28.17
WEAL HERSPOON	40.05	5.75						1	71 47	47 00	70 07	50 28
	50 A2	46 75	46.70	47.23	46.55	46.48	62.61	49.77	12.71	47.04	- 0.0 1	90.00
	1.00				6	6	24 50	76.00	10015	54 75	49.36	74.29
NOLLIN	43.18	40.90	49.31	20.00	27.30	25.23	00.10	t?:00	2::0			
			92.07	47.65	77 74	50 17	52 25	50.35	46.45	50.05	55.16	50.28
ROBINSON	44.70	40.50	45.00	70.74	ţ	-	6.10				, 0	37 33
		70 00	46.40	52 77	48.91	55.74	54.18	56.20	54.79	26.02	58.64	80.10
ASHEVILLE	46.37	49.00	<u>-</u>						7077	24 60	90 69	77 11
6 7 000000	F2 04	18 7K	47 17	51.34	51.99	54.33	60.80	65.81	51.04	00.10	03.00	Ė
ROABORO I-S	25.0	2						60	FO 04	20 22	24 77	275 73
	50 A3	55 23	48.73	52.49	49.16	52.48	27.60	20.33	20.04	20.00	;	
+ OVOGVOY	? •				1	100	7703	E2 40	K7 25	56 61	58.35	85.36
MAYO	52.74	51.84	51.54	52.15	48.55	23.87	23.14	32.40	01.10	200		
; ; ;	9	72 07	47.60	54.03	50 67	53.07	57.98	57.65	55.09	53.84	65.51	77.50
TOTAL	49.68	40.04	41.03	5								

2005

MARCH	Ġ	54.97	57.26	51.43	53.25	66.57	70.88	58.69	101.29	71.52	1	64.74
JANUARY FEBRUARY MARCH	49	61.99	58.37	57.62	59.77	56.39	64.56	58.50	68.79	74.10		62.73
JANUARY F	S	56.91	58.26	47.67	61.99	58.17	70.05	61.10	69.33	69.19		62.96
PLANT		CAPE FEAR	WEATHERSPOON		SUTTON	ROBINSON	ASHEVILLE	ROXBORO 1-3	ROXBORO 4	MAYO		TOTAL

# CAROLINA POWER LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. RECEIVED COAL- COST PER TON COMPARISON JANUARY 2004-MARCH 2005

### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC.

	INVOICE COST PER	FREIGHT COST	TOTAL COST PER	
MONTH	TON	PER TON	TON	COST PER MBTU
MONTH	\$	\$	\$	\$
Jan-04	34.84	14.84	49.68	1.9980
Feb-04	34.39	14.15	48.54	1.9516
Mar-04	33.63	14.06	47.69	1.9212
Apr-04	36.42	14.61	51.03	2.0560
дрг-04 Мау-04	35.64	15.04	50.68	2.0446
Jun-04	38.54	14.54	53.08	2.1495
Jul-04 Jul-04	44.20	13.78	57.98	2.3376
Aug-04	43.73	13.92	57.65	2.3394
Sep-04	41.02	14.02	55.04	2.2249
Oct-04	38.67	15.17	53.84	2.1706
	41.14	14.84	55.98	2.2514
Nov-04 Dec-04	46.81	18.15	64.96	2.6387
	44.38	18.58	62.96	2.5318
Jan-05	44.43	18.30	62.73	2.5100
Feb-05 Mar-05	44.43 45.52	18.14	63.66	2.5508

#### **DUKE POWER COMPANY**

<u>MONTH</u>	INVOICE COST PER  TON \$	FREIGHT COST PER TON \$	TOTAL COST PER TON \$	COST PER MBTU
Jan-04	31.43	15.29	46.72	1.8970
Feb-04	31.25	15.40	46.65	1.8999
Mar-04	32.02	15.68	47.70	1.9387
Apr-04	32.18	15.41	47.59	1.9331
др0 Мау-04	32.46	15.55	48.01	1.9591
Jun-04	32.05	16.54	48.59	1.9922
Jul-04	33.39	16.80	50.19	2.0517
Aug-04	34.25	16.52	50.77	2.0639
Sep-04	33.74	16.76	50.50	2.0631
-	32.17	16.54	48.71	1.9980
Oct-04	35.08	14.56	49.64	2.0264
Nov-04	33.79	17.42	51.21	2.1058
Dec-04	35.89	16.92	52.81	2.1615
Jan-05	37.66	16.29	53.95	2.1993
Feb-05 Mar-05	37.00 37.21	17.98	55.19	2.2537

# CAROLINA POWER LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. RECEIVED COAL- COST PER TON COMPARISON JANUARY 2004-MARCH 2005

### SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY

	INVOICE COST PER	FREIGHT COST	TOTAL COST PER	
MONTH	TON	PER TON	TON	COST PER MBTU
MONTH	\$	<u> </u>	\$	\$
Jan-04	32.00	12.43	44.43	1.7567
Feb-04	33.14	13.62	46.76	1.8519
Mar-04	33.19	13.62	46.81	1.8470
Apr-04	37.53	13.40	50.93	2.0176
дрг-04 Мау-04	37.52	12.07	49.59	1.9566
Jun-04	39.53	12.92	52.45	2.0821
	35.93	12.61	48.54	1.9187
Jul-04	41.14	11.26	52.40	2.0844
Aug-04		14.20	52.27	2.0901
Sep-04	38.07		50.99	2.0357
Oct-04	37.82	13.17		
Nov-04	43.54	11.34	54.88	2.1668
Dec-04	37.47	12.94	50.41	2.0026
Jan-05	49.94	10.74	60.68	2.3853
	58.66	15.49	74.15	2.3205
Feb-05 Mar-05	61.03	12.41	73.44	2.4081

# CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. COAL FUEL STOCKS - NUMBER OF DAYS OF SUPPLY (ALL PLANTS) JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

<u>MONTH</u>	TONS BEGINNING OF MONTH	TONS RECEIVED DURING MONTH	TONS CONSUMED DURING MONTH	BALANCE END OF MONTH	NUMBER OF DAYS OF SUPPLY
	TONS	TONS	TONS	TONS	DAYS
Jan-04	1,569,432	870,107	1,097,260	1,342,279	33
Feb-04	1,342,279	815,504	1,075,001	1,082,783	27
Mar-04	1,082,783	973,517	1,046,372	1,009,928	25
Apr-04	1,009,928	981,913	855,541	1,136,300	28
May-04	1,136,300	1,044,461	1,122,932	1,057,829	26
Jun-04	1,057,829	871,441	984,654	944,616	23
Jul-04	944,616	1,160,680	1,104,734	1,000,562	25
Aug-04	1,000,562	1,055,901	1,050,920	1,005,543	25
Sep-04	1,005,543	993,387	776,961	1,221,969	30
Oct-04	1,221,969	823,347	808,256	1,237,060	30
Nov-04	1,237,060	956,540	852,090	1,341,510	33
Dec-04	1,341,510	765,489	966,731	1,140,268	28
Jan-05	1,140,268	787,621	996,935	930,954	23
Feb-05	930,954	1,058,549	947,318	1,042,185	26
Mar-05	1,042,185	1,251,028	1,080,416	1,212,797	30

# CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. TOTAL BURNED COST (FOSSIL AND NUCLEAR) \* JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

MONTH  Jan-04 Feb-04 Mar-05 Apr-04 Jun-04 Jul-04 Aug-04 Sep-04 Oct-04 Nov-04 Dec-04 Jan-05 Feb-05 Mar-05	COAL \$ 54,826,102 54,214,057 50,904,646 42,277,419 56,288,994 50,898,268 57,315,363 61,712,537 44,411,732 44,453,511 46,805,344 55,647,058 67,960,690 61,333,388 68,841,632	OIL \$ 1,507,612 116,444 416,997 588,268 1,164,852 712,139 479,053 452,824 597,404 493,064 709,980 2,021,704 2,521,801 550,835 1,089,832	GAS \$ 12,363,039 7,944,536 2,153,400 2,860,153 26,320,176 13,339,876 21,735,059 20,282,795 12,932,047 2,675,429 3,625,774 11,646,378 14,555,461 5,810,450 15,662,451	213,288 532,677 469,232 296,180 394,535 371,966 2,029,558 948,112 1,994,071	NUCLEAR \$ 10,003,332 9,201,723 7,140,170 8,297,667 6,432,698 9,250,298 9,411,550 9,208,408 9,563,323 8,352,901 8,014,965 9,665,709 9,712,533 8,571,138 7,623,023	TOTAL BURNED COST \$ 79,230,008 72,016,123 61,141,211 54,694,461 91,040,852 74,413,869 89,473,702 92,125,796 67,800,686 56,369,440 59,528,029 81,010,407 95,698,597 78,259,882 96,031,222
1	817,890,741	13,422,809	173,907,024		130,449,438	1,148,834,285
TOTALS	817,890,741	13,422,809	173,907,024	10,104,210		

<sup>\*</sup> Includes Emission Allowances

#### **AUDIT EXHIBIT JRC-6**

# CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. COST OF FUEL JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

	COST OF FUEL	PURCHASE AND INTERCHANGE POWER FUEL	FUEL COST RECOVERED INTERSYSTEM	TOTAL NET FUEL
<b>MONTH</b>	BURNED	COSTS	<u>SALES</u>	COST
		\$	\$	\$
Jan-04	79,230,008	8,556,227	(16,895,217)	70,891,018
Feb-04	72,016,123	6,378,921	(15,792,461)	62,602,583
Mar-04	61,141,211	7,732,975	(10,147,416)	58,726,770
Apr-04	54,694,461	7,254,828	(10,875,147)	51,074,142
May-04	91,040,852	21,410,359	(9,602,590)	102,848,621
Jun-04	74,413,869	12,320,294	(9,990,851)	76,743,312
Jul-04	89,473,702	17,455,314	(12,253,765)	94,675,251
Aug-04	92,125,796	12,907,047	(10,552,810)	94,480,033
Sep-04	67,800,686	11,895,666	(2,917,437)	76,778,915
Oct-04	56,369,440	7,832,928	(9,107,258)	55,095,110
Nov-04	59,528,029	8,645,561	(7,532,549)	60,641,041
Dec-04	81,010,407	11,422,891	(14,590,253)	77,843,045
Jan-05	95,698,597	12,081,732	(17,427,354)	90,352,975
Feb-05	78,259,882	7,724,460	(12,928,045)	73,056,297
Mar-05	96,031,222	10,690,934	(20,373,134)	86,349,022
Total	1,148,834,285	164,310,137	(180,986,287)	1,132,158,135

Audit Exhibit JRC-7 Page 1 of 4

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL COST COMPUTATION JANUARY 2004 - JUNE 2005

					ACTUAL				*
	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September
	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004	2004
Fossil Fuel	69,226,676	62,814,401	54,001,042	46,396,794	84,608,155	65,163,571	80,062,152	82,917,388	58,237,363
Nuclear Fuel	10,003,332	9,201,723	7,140,170	8,297,667	6,432,698	9,250,298	9,411,550	9,208,408	9,563,323
Purchased Power (2)	8,556,227	6,378,921	7,732,975	7,254,828	21,410,359	12,320,294	17,455,314	12,907,047	11,895,666
Sub-total	87,786,235	78,395,045	68,874,187	61,949,289	112,451,212	86,734,163	106,929,016	105,032,843	79,696,352
Less: Intersystem Sales	16.895,217	15,792,461	10,147,416	10,875,147	9,602,590	9,990,851	12,253,765	10,552,810	2,917,437
Net Fuel Costs	70,891,018	62,602,584	58,726,771	51,074,142	102,848,622	76,743,312	94,675,251	94,480,033	76,778,915
Total System KWH Sales (000's)	4.530.205	4,578,139	4,185,739	3,848,207	3,788,222	4,658,707	4,912,348	4,826,877	4,575,051
S/KWH	0.01565	0.01367	0.01403	0.01327	0.02715	0.01647	0.01927	0.01957	0.01678
Pess: Base	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471
Fuel Adjustment/KWH	(0.00094)	0.00104	0.00068	0.00144	(0.01244)	(0.00176)	(0.00456)	(0.00486)	(0.00207)
S.C. KWH Sales	630,892,125	626,546,693	601,056,441	553,395,893	530,278,072	685,898,072	700,845,232	668,186,495	659,502,230
Deferred Fuel Entry	(593,039)	651,609	408,718	796,890	(6,596,659)	(1,207,181)	(3,195,854)	(3,247,386)	(1,365,170)
December 2003 (1)	(6,038,891)								
Accounting Adjustment									
Cumulative Over/(Under) Recovery	(6,631,930)	(5,980,321)	(5,571,603)	(4,774,713)	(11,371,372)	(12,578,553)	(15,774,407)	(19,021,793)	(20,386,963)
-									

Please Note:

In Audit Exhibit JRC-7, ORS reflects Over-Recovery amounts without parentheses and reflects (Under)-Recovery amounts within parentheses.

\*Explanation of Footnotes on Audit Exhibit JRC-7, Page 3 of 4 and Page 4 of 4.

Audit Exhibit JRC-7 Page 2 of 4

CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. SOUTH CAROLINA FUEL COST COMPUTATION JANUARY 2004 - JUNE 2005

			ACTUAL				ES	ESTIMATED	
	October	November	December	January	February	March	April	May	June
	2004	2004	2004	2005	2005	2005	2005	2005	2005
Fossil Fuel	48,016,539	51,513,064	71,344,698	85,986,064	69,688,744	88,408,199	74,943,900	77,316,300	101,819,900
Nuclear Fuel	8,352,901	8,014,965	9,665,709	9,712,533	8,571,138	7,623,023	8,408,500	9,697,500	9,397,200
Purchased Power (2)	7,832,928	8,645,561	11,422,891	12,081,732	7,724,460	10,690,934	9,502,800	9,252,900	11,875,500
Subtotal	64,202,368	68,173,590	92,433,298	107,780,329	85,984,342	106,722,156	92,855,200	96,266,700	123,092,600
Less: Intersystem Sales	9,107,258	7,532,549	14,590,253	17,427,354	12,928,045	20,373,134	18,226,500	11,643,000	14,743,100
Net Fuel Costs	55,095,110	60,641,041	77,843,045	90,352,975	73,056,297	86,349,022	74,628,700	84,623,700	108,349,500
Total System KWH Sales	3,917,030	3,717,156	4,286,650	4,550,908	4,522,714	4,317,262	3,940,127	4,077,318	4,600,090
\$/KWH	0.01407	0.01631	0.01816	0.01985	0.01615	0.02000	0.01894	0.02075	0.02355
Less: Base	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471	0.01471
Fuel Adjustment/KWH	0.00064	(0.00160)	(0.00345)	(0.00514)	(0.00144)	(0.00529)	(0.00423)	(0.00604)	(0.00884)
S.C. KWH Sales	570,907,426	511,510,794	596,408,640	616,099,915	606,933,897	557,383,936	551,670,000	562,084,000	646,361,000
Deferred Fuel Entry	365,381	(818,417)	(2,057,610)	(3,166,754)	(873,985)	(2,948,561)	(2,333,564)	(3,394,987)	(5,713,831)
September 2004 - (p. 1 of 2)	(20,386,963)								
Accounting Adjustment			(18,500) (3)			1,906,438 (4)			
Cumulative Over/(Under)									
Recovery	(20,021,582)	(20,839,999)	(22,916,109)	(26,082,863)	(26,956,848)	(27,998,971)	(30,332,535)	(33,727,522)	(39,441,353)

Please Note:

In Audit Exhibit JRC-7, ORS reflects Over-Recovery amounts without parentheses and reflects (Under)-Recovery amounts within parentheses.

\*Explanation of Footnotes on Audit Exhibit JRC-7, Page 3 of 4 and Page 4 of 4.

#### Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. South Carolina Fuel Cost Computation January 2004 – June 2005

#### Explanation of Footnotes to Audit Exhibit JRC-7:

- (1) ORS's cumulative (under)-recovery balance brought forward from December 2003 of (\$6,038,891), as reflected on this exhibit, is the amount of the cumulative (under)-recovery balance as of December 2003, as shown on the PSC "Commission Staff Report" (Audit Exhibit G-Page 2 of 3), from Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.'s last fuel review period (actual January 2003 December 2003), Docket No. 2004-1-E. This (under)-recovery balance of (\$6,038,891) differs from the Company's beginning cumulative (under)-recovery balance from December 2003 by \$948,476. This beginning cumulative difference is based on the PSC Staff's corrections from the last fuel review period. It should be noted that PEC, in its testimony, includes an applicable adjustment of \$948,472 (with a \$4 rounding difference) to March 2004's monthly deferred entry.
- (2) ORS's Purchased Power figures for January 2004 through March 2005 and the resultant over (under)-recovery monthly deferred fuel amounts for January 2004 through March 2005 reflects ORS's compliance with the revised section of the S.C. Fuel Statute (updated as of February 2004), S.C. Code Ann. §58-27-865(A). This Statute addresses "fuel costs related to purchased power." Sub-section (A)(2)(b) of the revised Statute stated that the delivered cost of economy purchases, including transmission charges, could be included in Purchased Power Costs if those types of purchases were proven to be "less than the purchasing utility's avoided variable costs for the generation of an equivalent quantity of electric power." After ORS applied this revised Statute to the examined economic purchases along with the applicable avoided cost, ORS's adjustment to Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis, totaled (\$171,610). This figure reflects the usage of an avoided cost as a lesser price, at that point in time, over a purchase price.

As mentioned previously, according to the new Statute section, transmission charges could be included in the delivered cost of purchases. However, ORS made an adjustment in March 2004 to Purchased Power Costs to exclude a transmission charge totaling \$587,500 because the transmission charge was reflected with no corresponding purchase for that month. Since the purchase transaction was \$-0-, ORS excluded the fixed transmission charge – (\$587,500). ORS reduced Purchased Power Costs, on a total system basis, for a total of (\$759,110). See Audit Exhibit JRC-8 for details.

Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. South Carolina Fuel Cost Computation January 2004 – June 2005

#### Explanation of Footnotes to Audit Exhibit JRC-7:

- (3) In December 2004, the Company made an adjustment to reflect a correction to its S.C. KWH Sales from November 2004. The sales had been understated for that month. This true-up adjustment was reflected as an additional monthly entry to the Deferred Fuel Account as an (under)-recovery entry of (\$18,500). ORS agreed with this adjustment.
- (4) ORS made an adjustment for an outstanding PSC Fuel Docket of PEC, Docket No.2003-1-E. In January 2004, the Richland County Circuit Court, in an appeal of the fuel cases of Duke and SCE&G, ruled on the interpretation of the definition section of fuel costs related to purchase power transactions based on the S.C. Fuel Statute that was current at that time. The Court ruled that the avoided cost proxy that was used in S.C. to handle non-identifiable fuel costs in purchase transactions was not allowed under the S.C. Fuel Statute. CP&L agreed to be bound by the decision of the Court in the appeal. Therefore, to resolve the outstanding CP&L (PEC) docket, which dealt with this issue, ORS proposed the use of the N.C. Public Staff's Fuel Cost Proxy Percentages for that review year of 2002. The adjustment is reflected in the S.C. Jurisdictional cumulative balance of the Deferred Account as an over-recovery amount of \$1,906,438. See Audit Exhibit JRC-9 for details.

#### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. AVOIDED COST AND OTHER PURCHASE POWER ADJUSTMENTS JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

MONTH	COMPANY/TYPE OF POWER	AVOIDED COST	AVERAGE ENERGY COST	<u>ADJUSTMENT</u>
Jan-04		-	•	-
Feb-04	Company A - Generation	12,002	13,456	(1,454)
Mar-04	Company B - Open Tariff	11,814	20,500	(8,686)
Mai -0-	Company C - Open Tariff	82,745	95,500	(12,755)
	Company A - Generation	1,712	2,727	(1,015)
	Company D - Open Tariff	25,904	33,000	(7,096)
	-	122,175	151,727	(29,552)
A 04	Company E - Open Tariff	18,396	22,932	(4,536)
Apr-04	Company C - Open Tariff	150,483	198,716	(48,233)
	Company A - Generation	2,210	4,489	(2,279)
	Company F - Open Tariff	18,396	24,336	(5,940)
	Company G - Open Tariff	13,241	15,088	(1,847)
	Company D - Open Tariff	78,508	93,481_	(14,973)
	- Company D - Open raini	281,234	359,042	(77,808)
14 04	Company A - Generation	26,493	28,362	(1,869)
May-04	Company A - Open Tariff	166,909	200,800	(33,891)
	Company H - Open Tariff	3,943	5,400	(1,457)
	Company i - Emergency	14,195	16,896_	(2,701)
	Company 1 - Emergency	211,540	251,458	(39,918)
Jun-04	Company A - Generation	12,667	14,269	(1,602)
Jui-04	Company A - Generation	11,801	12,950	(1,149)
A 04	Company A - Generation	16,093	19,165	(3,072)
Aug-04	Company D - Open Tariff	26,032	28,288	(2,256)
	Company D - Open Turm	42,125	47,453	(5,328)
Sep-04	Company A - Generation	896	899	(3)
0-4.04	Company J - Open Tariff	4,166	4,472	(306)
Oct-04	Company D - Open Tariff	53,073	54,480	(1,407)
	Company D - Open Turm	57,239	58,952	(1,713)
	Company A - Generation	10,334	23,241	(12,907)
Nov-04		1,924	2,100_	(176)
	Company F - Open Tariff	12,258	25,341	(13,083)
Dec-04		•	•	•
Jan-05		•	•	•
Feb-05		•	•	•
Mar-05				-
	Total Avoided Cost Adjustment	763,937	935,547	(171,610)
	Other Purchase Power Adjustments Mar-04 American Electric - Rockport (AEP)		Transmission Cost of \$587,500; \$0 Purchased in March 2004.	
			ORS excludes Transmission Cost	(587,500)
			Total Purchase Power Adjustments	(759,110)

### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY d/b/a PROGRESS ENERGY CAROLINAS, INC. AVOIDED COST AND OTHER PURCHASE POWER ADJUSTMENTS JANUARY 2004 - MARCH 2005

	Company's Purchased	ORS Purchased Power	ORS Revised Purchased
<u>Month</u>	Power	Adjustment	Power
Jan-04	8,556,227	0	8,556,227
Feb-04	6,380,375	(1,454)	6,378,921
Mar-04	8,350,027	(617,052) (1)	7,732,975
Apr-04	7,332,636	(77,808)	7,254,828
May-04	21,450,277	(39,918)	21,410,359
Jun-04	12,321,896	(1,602)	12,320,294
Jul-04	17,456,463	(1,149)	17,455,314
Aug-04	12,912,375	(5,328)	12,907,047
Sep-04	11,895,669	(3)	11,895,666
Oct-04	7,834,641	(1,713)	7,832,928
Nov-04	8,658,644	(13,083)	8,645,561
Dec-04	11,422,891	O	11,422,891
Jan-05	12,081,732	0	12,081,732
Feb-05	7,724,460	0	7,724,460
Mar-05	10,690,934	0	10,690,934
Total	165,069,247	(759,110)	164,310,137

<sup>(1)</sup> This amount includes avoided cost adjustments of (\$29,552) and transmission charges of (\$587,500).

¢

#### Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.

#### Adjustment for the Previous CP&L Docket No. 2003-1-E

For Purchased Power Info --- Jan. 2002 - Dec. 2002 (Actual)

Adjustment to settle the outstanding CP&L 2003 Fuel Case --- PSC Docket No. 2003-1-E:

In January 2004, the Richland County Circuit Court, in an appeal of fuel cases of Duke and SCE&G, ruled that the avoided cost methodology approved by the PSC to handle non-identifiable fuel costs on purchase transactions was not allowed under the definition section of the fuel statute. Therefore, to expedite the outstanding CP&L 2003 Fuel Case which dealt with this issue and to recognize that there are fuel costs, of some degree, in most purchase transactions, the ORS proposes to use the N.C. Public Staff's Fuel Cost Proxy Percentages for the year of Jan. 2002 - Dec. 2002. The proxy was 60% from 1/02 through 3/02 and 61% from 4/02 through 12/02, based on N.C.'s effective fuel factor date. ORS proposes to use the fuel information for that period since the information is readily available and verifiable.

S.C.'s Cumulative Deferred (Under)-Recovery Bal. @ 12/02	ቅ (7,393,266)
S.C. S Cultidiative Deferred (Orider)-Recovery Bail. @ 12/02	(7,595,200)
Reversal of PEC's Avoided Cost Adj. to the Cumul. Def. Acct. Reverse from an Over-Recovery to an (Under)-Recovery Amt. (Docket No. 2003-1-E)	(79,023)
Revised S.C. Cumul. Def. (Under)-Recovery Bal. @ 12/02	(7,472,289)
S.C.'s Cumul. Def. (Under)-Recovery Bal. @ 12/02Using the N.C. Public Staff's Fuel Cost Proxy of 60% and 61% (both used	
in 2002), when there is non-identifiable fuel info on purchases from other utilities/marketers.	(5,565,851)
ORS Adjustment for PEC's Open PSC Docket No. 2003-1-E An Over-Recovery Adjustment Effect	1,906,438